

Food Packaging Regulatory and Sustainability Landscape

PPWR, PFAS, PCR Mandates and Strategic Implications

Prepared by Rospex Holdings LLC

April 2026

Executive Summary Edition



© 2026 Rospex Holdings LLC. All rights reserved.

This research report is the exclusive intellectual property of Rospex Holdings LLC.

Reproduction or distribution without written permission is prohibited.

Market Overview

The regulatory and sustainability transformation of food packaging has moved from theory to binding law. In the 18 months through April 2026, the EU's Packaging and Packaging Waste Regulation (PPWR) entered into force, the FDA completed its withdrawal of all PFAS food-contact authorizations, California's SB 54 EPS ban triggered, and the September 2025 US tariff action repriced Asian resin and film imports. These events are not speculative scenarios - they are current operating constraints shaping capital allocation, product reformulation, and acquisition underwriting for every participant in the food packaging value chain.

The financial stakes are substantial: an estimated \$1.2 billion in annual North American packaging spend is shifting out of EPS and PS foam into alternatives. North American PFAS reformulation costs for paper-based converters are estimated at \$400 to \$700 million through 2026. EU flexible converters face \$3 to \$8 million per production line in mono-material conversion capex to meet PPWR 2030 recyclability mandates. Against those headwinds, molded fiber is growing at 12 to 15% CAGR in North America, mono-material recyclable flexibles at 15-plus% in the EU, and RPET thermoforms at 8 to 12% globally - all driven directly by these regulatory tailwinds.

Key Regulatory Milestones for 2025 to 2030

Date	Jurisdiction	Requirement
Jan 1, 2025	California (US)	EPS foodservice ban triggered (SB 54) - EPS cups, plates, containers banned
Jan 6, 2025	US Federal (FDA)	35 PFAS food-contact FCNs declared abandoned
Feb 11, 2025	EU	PPWR Regulation 2025/40 entered into force
Jun 30, 2025	US Federal	PFAS-coated paper food packaging sell-through deadline - no new sales
Sep 8, 2025	US Federal	Reciprocal tariffs extended to PET resin (15-50% by source country)
Aug 12, 2026	EU (PPWR)	PPWR general application: PFAS limits, substances of concern, DoC required
Jan 1, 2027	California (SB 54)	PRO Plan approval; EPR fees begin; \$500M annual Pollution Fund contributions start
Jan 1, 2028	California (SB 54)	30% plastic packaging recycling rate target
Jan 1, 2028	EU (PPWR)	DfR (Design for Recycling) criteria delegated acts adopted
Jan 1, 2030	EU (PPWR)	All packaging must be recyclable; Grade D banned; PCR mandates begin
2030	EU (PPWR)	30% PCR for contact-sensitive PET; 10% other contact-sensitive plastic; 35% non-contact
Jan 1, 2032	California (SB 54)	100% recyclable or compostable; 65% recycling rate; 25% source reduction

PCR Economics and Supply

Food-grade rPET currently commands a 40 to 60% premium over virgin PET (\$1,600 to \$1,680 per tonne versus \$1,000 to \$1,100 per tonne). This premium is structural, not cyclical - California SB 54 and EU PPWR are pulling certified PCR demand faster than mechanical and chemical recycling infrastructure can supply it. Food-grade rPP is in even shorter supply with a 15 to 40% premium. Converters who have not yet secured multi-year PCR offtake agreements at indexed pricing are exposed to significant spot price volatility through 2030.

Chemical recycling - particularly Eastman's methanolysis for PET and pyrolysis-based polyolefin recycling from Plastic Energy and ExxonMobil - is approaching commercial scale and offers a long-term supply pathway for circular content in multi-layer flexible packaging formats that mechanical recycling cannot handle. The investment opportunity for packaging platforms is to sign early offtake agreements at pre-scarcity pricing, analogous to power purchase agreements in renewable energy.

Strategic Implications - Substrate Positioning Through 2030

Fragmentation and Compliance Readiness Assessment

Substrate	Regulatory Status	Strategic Outlook
Molded fiber / pulp foodservice	Preferred / Growing	Strongest NA growth: 12-15% CAGR from EPS substitution; PFAS-free capable
Mono-material recyclable flex (mono-PE, mono-PP)	PPWR preferred	15%+ CAGR EU; fastest-growing flexible format globally
RPET thermoformed containers	Preferred / PCR mandated	8-12% CAGR; PCR supply constrained; premium pricing justifiable
PFAS-free barrier-coated paper	Required (in force)	Growing; replacing PFAS-coated paper in all regulated markets
Standard PP foodservice	Stable / Monitor	Stable NA; tariff risk on imported PP; recyclable with infrastructure
EPS / PS foam foodservice	Banned in 14+ US jurisdictions and EU	Terminal decline; 7-10% annual volume loss in regulated markets
PFAS-coated paper packaging	Banned (US, Aug 2026 EU)	Zero viable commercial path in any major regulated market
Non-recyclable multilayer flex	EU PPWR D-grade ban 2030	Structurally non-viable for EU sales post-2030; conversion capex required now

Full research report with detailed regulatory text analysis, PCR supply modeling, chemical recycling investment database, and complete citations available upon request from Rospex Holdings LLC.

© 2026 Rospex Holdings LLC | Proprietary Research | Full version with citations available upon request.